

AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:

The attached sheet of drawings includes a correction of a typographical error in Figure 37. More specifically, the reference number for "password PW" has been changed from "3701" to "3702". In view of the description in the paragraph beginning on page 47, line 6 of the specification as originally filed, Applicants believe that no new matter has been added by this amendment to Figure 37.

Attachments: One Replacement Sheet

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed April 3, 2006, the Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-23, and 25-29 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,587,835 to Chen et al. ("*Chen*"). In this response, claim 20 has been cancelled. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-12, 15-19, 21-23, 26-27, and 29 have been amended. Following this amendment, claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-19, 21-23, and 25-29 are pending in this application, with claims 1, 10, and 21 being the only independent claims.

Applicants' representative conducted a personal interview with Examiner James Kramer on June 16, 2006. Applicants wish to thank Examiner Kramer for his courteous interview and the opportunity to discuss this application. During the interview, the rejections based on *Chen* reference were discussed.

Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections of claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-19, 21-23, and 25-29 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e). In particular, *Chen* does not disclose or suggest the publication period provided by the presenter and the downloading of presentation material for storage as required by independent claims 1, 10, and 21.

Applicants respectfully submit that *Chen* does not disclose or suggest downloading of the presentation material for storage as required by claim 1. Claim 1 as currently amended requires that *downloading* of the presentation material *for storage* be permitted during the publication period. *Chen*, on the other hand, concerns *transient transmission* of presentation material. *See generally* col. 6, line 53 - col. 7, line 54. Such transient transmission occurs on an on-demand basis either in real time by streaming as the presenter offers the presentation (*see* col. 4, line 49 et seq.), or from a mass storage medium in which the presentation materials are stored (*see* col. 5, lines

45-49). In other words, *Chen* does not disclose or suggest transmitting the presentation materials to the readers *for storage*.

Accordingly, Applicants believe that *Chen* does not disclose or suggest the downloading of presentation materials for storage as required by claim 1.

Furthermore, Applicants respectfully submit that *Chen* does not disclose or suggest a publication period as required by claim 1. Claim 1 requires that the public information contain at least a publication period, which indicates a period during which the presentation materials are stored in the presentation material publishing server. Furthermore, claim 1 clearly requires that the presenter upload the presentation materials so as to be associated with the publication period. Applicants assert that *Chen* does not disclose or suggest the publication period required by claim 1.

The Office Action mailed on April 3, 2006 asserts that the description in column 7, lines 5-6 of *Chen* discloses the publication period of claim 1. Applicants respectfully disagree with this assertion. *Chen*'s reference to a "one week" period clearly pertains to the user's viewing period, indicating that the user has "the right to view the presentation during a period of one week." See column 6, line 61-column 7, line 6. In other words, the one week period mentioned in *Chen* is a period during which a user who pays the designated fee is entitled to view the presentation material. The "publication period" recited in claim 1, however, clearly differs from this "viewing period" disclosed in *Chen*.

More specifically, the publication period recited in claim 1 relates to a period during which the presentation material is to be *stored in the presentation material publishing server*. The publication period clearly differs from, and is generally equal to or longer than, the viewing period for each reader. For instance, a presentation material

can be stored in the presentation material publishing server for 3 months with no reader requesting an access thereto or one reader given the viewing period of 1 week. Clearly, the cited portion of *Chen* pertains to the viewing period, as opposed to the publication period required by claim 1.

Thus, there is no disclosure or suggestion of publication period in *Chen*. Even assuming *arguendo* that *Chen* implicitly shows the concept of publication period, *Chen* still fails to meet the requirement of claim 1, because claim 1 specifically requires that *the presenter* upload the presentation material so as to be associated with the publication period. There is no disclosure or suggestion in *Chen* that the presenters provide a publication period in uploading presentation materials to associate the presentation material with the publication period.

Accordingly, Applicants believe that *Chen* does not disclose or suggest the publication period as required by claim 1. Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that *Chen* does not disclose or suggest the claimed combination of amended claim 1.

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicants submit that claim 1 is not anticipated by *Chen*. Because independent claims 10 and 21, although of different scopes, have distinguishing features similar to those of claim 1, Applicants further submit that claims 10 and 21 are also not anticipated by *Chen* for at least the reasons given with respect to claim 1.

Dependent claims 2, 3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14-19, 22, 23, and 25-29 are allowable not only for the reasons stated above with regard to their respective allowable base claims, but also for their own additional features that distinguish them from *Chen*. Accordingly,

Applicants request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and the timely allowance of claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-19, 21-23, and 25-29.


In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and reexamination of this application and the timely allowance of the pending claims.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this response and charge any additional required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: July 30, 2006

By: 
Kiyoe K. Kabashima
Reg. No. 54,874